

¿Afecta el momento de evaluación psicológica en una carrera popular?

Joel Manuel Prieto Andreu*

Universidad Cardenal Herrera (España)

Recibido: Julio 20 de 2016 – Revisado: Diciembre 15 de 2016 – Aceptado: Febrero 20 de 2017

Referencia norma APA: Andreu, J. M. (2017). ¿Afecta el momento de evaluación psicológica en una carrera popular?. *Rev. Guillermo de Ockham*, 15(1), 37-42 doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21500/22563202.3186>

Resumen

El propósito del presente estudio es conocer cómo afecta el momento de evaluación de los motivos y del estado psicológico de los corredores populares, en los días previos o en el mismo día de la carrera. Se consiguió una muestra de 473 corredores populares (374 hombres y 99 mujeres) participantes en dos carreras populares de 10 km y medio maratón. Los hombres tenían una media de edad de 30,28 años y una desviación estándar (DE) de 8,13 y las mujeres una media de edad de 31,70 y una DE de 8,45. El estudio se basa en una metodología cuantitativa y su diseño fue descriptivo, observacional y correlacional. Para la evaluación de las variables personales y sociodemográficas, se elaboró un cuestionario de autoinforme *ad hoc* y para evaluar la ansiedad previa a la competición, se utilizó el CSAI-2R de Andrade, Lois y Arce (2007). Los resultados indican que los corredores que llenaron el cuestionario los días anteriores a la carrera, presentan mayores puntuaciones en ansiedad cognitiva y los que llenaron el cuestionario el mismo día tuvieron mayores puntuaciones en ansiedad somática y autoconfianza. Se discute sobre las relaciones de estas variables con otras personales, deportivas y sociodemográficas, así como sobre la aplicabilidad de los resultados y se señalan algunas conclusiones del estudio.

Palabras clave: corredores, razones correr, estado psicológico, ansiedad, día de la carrera.

Does the moment of psychological evaluation affect a popular race?

Abstract

The purpose of this study is to know how affects the time of evaluation of the reasons and the psychological state of the popular runners in the days prior to the race or on the same day of the race. The sample was composed of 473 popular runners (374 men and 99 women) participating in two popular 10 km races and half marathon, men had a mean of 30,28 age and a standard deviation (SD) from 8.13 and women an average of age of 31,70 and SD of 8.45. The study is based on a quantitative methodology, and its design was descriptive, observational, and correlation. For the evaluation of the personal variables and socio-demographic was prepared ad hoc self-report questionnaire; and to evaluate anxiety prior to the competition was used to the CSAI-2R by Andrade, Lois y Arce (2007). The results suggest that runners who filled out the questionnaire prior to the race day presented higher scores in cognitive anxiety, and runners who completed the questionnaire on the same day had higher scores in somatic anxiety and confidence. It is discussed on the relations of these variables with other personal, sport and sociodemographic, as well as on the applicability of the results and someconclusions of the study are indicated.

Keywords: runners, run reasons, psychological state, anxiety, day of the race.

* Correspondencia: Joel Manuel Prieto Andreu. Profesor Universidad. Dpto. de Ciencias de la Educación. C/ Grecia 31 (Ciudad del Transporte II) 12006 Castellón, España. E-mail: <joel_manuel.prieto@uchceu.es>



Introducción

En el presente estudio se pretende conocer la manera como afecta el momento de evaluación de los motivos y del estado psicológico de los corredores populares, en los días previos o en el mismo día de la carrera. Conocer el efecto del estado emocional de un atleta ante una competición, tanto los días anteriores como el mismo día de la competición, puede ayudar a regular el rendimiento. El estado emocional no es algo inamovible; puede variar a lo largo de la competición, antes, en un momento determinado o después de la competición, en función de su duración, de la importancia que el corredor atribuye a la carrera, etc.

Hasta la fecha, la investigación llevada a cabo con corredores de maratón se ha centrado en estudiar su motivación (Ogles & Masters, 2000), pero no su estado psicológico pocas horas antes de la prueba, en comparación con otros que lo llenaron días anteriores. Una de las variables psicológicas que más influye en el rendimiento del atleta es la ansiedad y la mejor forma de mejorar los niveles de ansiedad precompetitiva es –en el plano psicológico– optimizando sus habilidades de afrontamiento en situaciones ansiógenas.

Las emociones, los niveles de ansiedad somática y cognitiva y la autoconfianza antes de un maratón, ya han sido estudiados con maratonianos (Burton, 1988; Cauas, 2010; Gould, Petlichkoff, Simon & Vevera, 1987; Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990; Thornton, Cronholm, Mccray & Webner, 2008), así como la exploración de determinadas variables psicológicas presentes en diferentes momentos asociados al rendimiento deportivo en las carreras de maratón. En este estudio se pretende aportar datos para observar si están en la línea de lo encontrado por otros autores.

Según la evidencia científica, se asume que en los días anteriores a la competición los corredores deberían encontrarse en un estado psicológico que les ayude a llegar a la carrera en las mejores condiciones físicas y psicológicas para rendir al máximo de sus posibilidades (Buceta, 1998; Burton, 1988; Gould *et al.*, 1987; Martens *et al.*, 1990; Nideffer, 1985; Orlick, 1986).

El objetivo del presente estudio es conocer el estado psicológico de los corredores populares en los días previos y en el mismo día de la carrera, en función de determinadas variables sociodemográficas, con la finalidad de obtener unas conclusiones útiles para mejorar el rendimiento en la población general de corredores populares.

Método

Diseño

Se trata de un estudio cuantitativo porque está conformado por variables de medición numéricas que pueden ser descritas o relacionadas entre sí y requiere de un tamaño de muestra que suponga representatividad de la población en estudio (Verma y Mallick, 1999). El estudio es de tipo transversal ya que solamente se hizo una medición en el tiempo, sin seguimiento previo o posterior. Por otro lado, el diseño del estudio fue descriptivo, observacional y correlacional (Thomas y Nelson, 2007).

Además, se trata de un estudio no experimental debido a que se describen las circunstancias de un evento (en este caso motivación y estado psicológico en corredores populares) sin manipulación directa de las variables de estudio. Únicamente se utilizaron números para caracterizar a la población, lo que le da su carácter descriptivo (McMillan y Schumacher, 2005).

La selección de la muestra no se hizo de manera aleatoria, por ello se trata de una investigación no probabilística (McMillan y Schumacher, 2005). Los participantes se seleccionaron por conveniencia, en este caso, por acceder a participar en el estudio.

Participantes

Se trabajó con corredores populares que aceptaron participar en el estudio de manera voluntaria. Se consiguió una muestra de 473 sujetos (374 hombres y 99 mujeres) participantes en la San Silvestre Crevillentina (diciembre 2013) y en el Medio Maratón de Santa Pola (enero 2014). En la Tabla 1 se observan las medias y la desviación típica de la edad, peso y estatura de los corredores populares.

Tabla 1
Media y desviación típica de la edad, peso y estatura

	Hombre		Mujer	
	Media	Desviación típica	Media	Desviación típica
Edad de los encuestados	30,28	8,13	31,70	8,45
Peso del encuestado	73,07	8,27	56,65	7,07
Estatura del encuestado	1,76	0,07	1,64	0,05

Instrumentos

Las herramientas para la recolección de información se eligieron y diseñaron de acuerdo con los objetivos del estudio y de las especificaciones metodológicas requeridas.

Se utilizaron escalas autoadministradas cuyas secciones se describen a continuación.

Variables personales y sociodemográficas

Para la evaluación de las variables personales y sociodemográficas se elaboró un cuestionario de autoinforme *ad hoc*, en el cual se recogió la información pertinente a las variables de práctica deportiva, edad, peso, estatura, sexo, tipo de carrera en la que suele participar, años continuados corriendo, si está o no federado, nivel de estudios, hijos, trabajo, promedio de kilómetros recorridos a la semana y días entrenados a la semana (Anexo 1).

Variables psicológicas

Para evaluar la ansiedad previa a la competición se utilizó el CSAI-2R de Andrade, Lois y Arce (2007) (Anexo 2). Se trata de una versión en español del CSAI-2 de Martens, Vealey y Burton (1990). La forma adaptada resultante consta de 18 ítems distribuidos en tres subescalas o dimensiones: 1. ansiedad somática estado; 2. ansiedad cognitiva estado, y 3. autoconfianza estado. En este, como en los demás cuestionarios, las respuestas a los ítems están reflejadas en una escala tipo Likert con un rango de respuesta de 1 a 4, en el que el 1 corresponde a totalmente en desacuerdo y 4 a totalmente de acuerdo con la formulación de la pregunta.

Procedimiento

Los participantes fueron corredores populares a quienes invitó a participar al encontrarse recogiendo su dorsal los días previos a la carrera, o en el mismo día de la carrera. Se les explicó que la finalidad del estudio era proporcionar información que describiera las razones por las que corren y su estado psicológico en su papel como corredores populares.

En este sentido, cobró importancia la explicación tanto del estudio como de las características y la forma de cumplimentación de los cuestionarios psicológicos, más los datos socio deportivos. Por tanto, los puntos que se desarrollaron fueron los siguientes:

- Se marcó el carácter voluntario y anónimo de la participación en el estudio.
- Explicación del estudio: objetivos y desarrollo (en un póster).
- Explicación de las instrucciones de cumplimentación de cada cuestionario al inicio de él. El investigador

lee cada instrucción y algún ítem ejemplo de cada cuestionario por si algún participante tiene dudas.

Análisis estadístico

El tratamiento estadístico de los datos se hizo con el programa SPSS 21.0. Con este programa se llevaron a cabo análisis descriptivos y análisis de frecuencias, media y correlaciones mediante la técnica de análisis de varianza Anova y el método de comparación múltiple de medias de Tukey. Todos los análisis estadísticos se hicieron con un nivel de significación de $p \leq .05$.

Resultados

En primer lugar, se ha hecho un análisis descriptivo. En la tabla 2 se observa el recuento de las variables “sexo” y “entrega del cuestionario”

Tabla 2
Recuento de alguna de las variables

		Hombre	Mujer
Entrega cuestionario	Días anteriores	254	65
	Día de la carrera	120	34
Está federado	No	290	72
	Sí	84	27

En la Tabla 3 se presentan las medias obtenidas por los corredores en las variables psicológicas de las tres subescalas del cuestionario CSAI-2R, en función del momento de entrega del cuestionario, de si se está federado o no y del sexo.

Tabla 3
Medias según las variables psicológicas de cada una de las categorías de las escalas

		Ansiedad cognitiva	Ansiedad somática	Autoconfianza
Entrega de cuestionarios	Días antes	9,5	14,3	14,4
	Día carrera	7,8	18,8	15,4
Está federado	No	8,9	15,6	14,9
	Sí	9,1	16,2	14,1
Sexo	Hombre	8,9	15,6	14,8
	Mujer	9,0	16,0	14,3

En las tablas 4 y 5 se presentan las medias obtenidas por los corredores en las variables psicológicas de las tres subescalas del cuestionario CSAI-2R, en función de si está o no federado (Tabla 4) y en función del sexo (Tabla 5). La muestra se agrupa según el momento de entrega de los cuestionarios (días anteriores o el mismo día de la carrera).

Tabla 4

Medias en función de la posición de licencia federativa, según las variables psicológicas de cada categoría de las escalas

		Ansiiedad cognitiva	Ansiiedad somática	Autoconfianza
Días anteriores	No federado	9,4	14,2	14,6
	Federado	9,9	14,8	13,8
Día de la carrera	No federado	7,9	19,0	15,2
	Federado	7,7	18,3	14,6

Tabla 5

Medias en función del sexo, según las variables psicológicas de cada categoría de las escalas

		Ansiiedad cognitiva	Ansiiedad somática	Autoconfianza
Días anteriores	Hombre	9,6	14,4	14,6
	Mujer	9,0	13,9	13,6
Día de la carrera	Hombre	7,5	18,4	15,3
	Mujer	8,9	20,2	15,7

Para determinar la relación de otras variables con las categorías motivacionales y así establecer las variables que obtienen relaciones significativas con las razones para participar en carreras de resistencia, se utilizó la técnica de análisis de varianza Anova (Tabla 6).

En cuanto al procedimiento para determinar dichas relaciones, se tomó como variable dependiente las puntuaciones obtenidas en cada categoría de las escalas y como variables independientes la entrega de cuestionarios los días anteriores a la carrera o el mismo día, si se está federado o no y el sexo.

Tabla 6

Resultados del análisis de varianza (Anova)

Anova	Entrega	Federado	Sexo
Ansiedad cognitiva	0,000	0,713	0,892
Ansiedad somática	0,000	0,333	0,471
Autoconfianza	0,001	0,012	0,123

Entrega del cuestionario (días anteriores o el día de la carrera)

En la Tabla 6 se presentan los resultados del análisis de varianza. Se asigna como variable independiente la entrega del cuestionario y como variable dependiente las puntuaciones obtenidas en las distintas categorías de la

escala. Como puede apreciarse, se encuentran diferencias significativas en las categorías ansiedad cognitiva ($p<0,001$), ansiedad somática ($p<0,001$) y autoconfianza ($p=0,001$). Al observar sus medias, se distingue cómo los corredores que llenaron el cuestionario los días anteriores a la carrera presentan mayores puntuaciones en ansiedad cognitiva (9,5/7,8); y menores puntuaciones en ansiedad somática (14,3/18,8) y autoconfianza (14,4/15,4).

Federado frente a no federado

Cuando se toma como variable independiente el hecho de si se está o no federado, se encuentran diferencias significativas en la categoría autoconfianza ($p=0,012$). Sus medias permiten apreciar cómo los corredores federados presentan menores puntuaciones en autoconfianza (14,1/14,9)

Sexo

Con relación al sexo de los corredores, el análisis de la varianza indica la ausencia de diferencias significativas en las categorías.

Por último, la Tabla 7 muestra los resultados del análisis de varianza (Anova) según posesión de licencia federativa y según sexo. Se usa la variable filtro “entrega de cuestionario” y se discuten posteriormente los resultados.

Tabla 7

Resultados del análisis de varianza (Anova) según posesión de licencia federativa y sexo. Se usa la variable filtro “entrega de cuestionario”

Anova	Federado	Sexo
Ansiedad cognitiva	0,699	0,000
Ansiedad somática	0,380	0,034
Autoconfianza	0,039	0,519

Federado frente a no federado

Cuando se toma como variable independiente si se está o no federado, se encuentran diferencias significativas en la categoría autoconfianza ($p=0,039$).

De acuerdo con sus medias, en la Tabla 5 puede apreciarse cómo los corredores federados y no federados aumentan su autoconfianza el día de la carrera. La autoconfianza de los corredores federados se observa menor tanto antes como el día de la carrera.

Sexo

Con relación al sexo de los corredores, el análisis de la varianza indica diferencias significativas en las categorías ansiedad cognitiva ($p<0,001$) y ansiedad somática ($p=0,034$). Según sus medias señaladas la Tabla 5, los

hombres y las mujeres disminuyen su ansiedad cognitiva el día de la carrera. La ansiedad cognitiva de los hombres el día de la carrera es menor con respecto a la de las mujeres.

En cuanto a la ansiedad somática, los hombres y las mujeres aumentan esta ansiedad el día de la carrera. Con respecto a las mujeres la ansiedad somática de los hombres es menor el día de la carrera y mayor los días anteriores.

Discusión

La ansiedad cognitiva suele mantenerse estable durante el período previo a la competición y disminuye o aumenta una vez que esta ha comenzado. Al igual que en el estudio de Burton (1988), se encontró una correlación negativa significativa entre la ansiedad cognitiva y la autoconfianza. Los corredores que llenaron el cuestionario los días anteriores a la carrera presentaron mayores puntuaciones en ansiedad cognitiva y menores puntuaciones en autoconfianza. Este dato confirma que al aumentar la preocupación por el rendimiento disminuye la autoconfianza y viceversa, por lo que se debe incidir en el entrenamiento psicológico de la autoconfianza de los corredores antes de la competición con la finalidad de neutralizar el estrés propio del período precompetitivo (Buceta, 1999).

En el caso de la ansiedad somática, parece razonable que si se mide como máximo doce horas antes del maratón, sea más baja que la observada en estudios en los que se midió en la hora anterior a la competición (Burton, 1988; Gould *et al.*, 1987; Martens *et al.*, 1990). De acuerdo con la literatura (Buceta, 1998; Burton, 1988; Gould *et al.*, 1987; Martens *et al.*, 1990; Nideffer, 1985; Orlick, 1986) y empleando el cuestionario CSAI-2, la ansiedad somática tiende a aumentar cuando la competición se acerca y llega a su punto más alto justo antes del comienzo. En contraste, en la presente investigación los corredores que llenaron el cuestionario los días anteriores a la carrera presentaron menores puntuaciones en ansiedad somática.

En contraposición, Buceta, López de la Llave, Pérez-Llantada, Vallejo y Del Pino (2002), centrados en tres variables: ansiedad-estado somática, ansiedad estado cognitiva y autoconfianza estado y utilizando el cuestionario *Competitive State Anxiety Inventory-2*, CSAI-2, de Martens *et al.* (1990), investigaron el estado psicológico de una muestra de 1220 corredores populares de maratón entre 12 y 65 horas antes de la prueba deportiva, y no encontraron diferencias significativas en ninguna de las tres variables psicológicas en función de la proximidad de la carrera, razón por la cual concluyeron que el estado psicológico de los corredores populares de maratón es

similar en el período comprendido entre 12 y 65 horas antes de la prueba.

Una posible limitación del presente estudio es el tipo de muestra empleada, ya que al tratarse de corredores populares es posible que afronten la competición como un desafío personal y no como la posibilidad de obtener grandes marcas competitivas, por lo que es de esperar que su ansiedad sea baja. Sería interesante utilizar muestras de corredores de élite para ver si se presentan diferencias en los resultados obtenidos en este estudio.

Conclusiones

Los corredores que llenaron el cuestionario los días anteriores a la carrera presentaron mayores puntuaciones en ansiedad cognitiva y menores en ansiedad somática y autoconfianza. Los corredores federados presentaron menores puntuaciones en autoconfianza. Los corredores federados y no federados aumentaron su autoconfianza el día de la carrera, si bien es menor la autoconfianza de los corredores federados tanto antes como el día de la carrera. Los hombres y las mujeres disminuyen su ansiedad cognitiva y aumentan su ansiedad somática el día de la carrera. Es menor la ansiedad cognitiva y somática de los hombres el día de la carrera con respecto a las mujeres y mayor la ansiedad somática los días anteriores y el mismo día de la carrera con respecto de las mujeres.

Referencias

- Andrade, E.M., Lois, G., & Arce, C. (2007). Propiedades psicométricas de la versión española del inventario de Ansiedad Competitiva CSAI-2R en deportistas. *Psicothema*, 19(1), 150-155.
- Barrios, R. (2001). Motivación hacia la práctica del ejercicio en corredores cubanos. EFDeportes.com, Revista Digital, <http://www.efdeportes.com>. Buenos Aires Año 6 - Nº 31. Feb/2001.
- Barrios, R. y Cardozo, L. (2002). Motivación para competir en corredores populares cubanos. Disponible en <http://www.efdeportes.com/efd47/motiv.htm> (visitado el 15 octubre del 2012).
- Buceta, J.M. (1998). *Psicología del Entrenamiento Deportivo*. Madrid: Dykinson.
- Buceta, J.M. (1999). *Psicología del Alto Rendimiento Deportivo*. Madrid: Real Federación Española de Fútbol.
- Buceta, J. M., López de la Llave, A., Pérez-Llantada, M. C., Vallejo, M. y Del Pino, M. D. (2002). Intervención psicológica con corredores de maratón: características y valoración

del programa aplicado en el maratón de Madrid. Revista de Psicología del Deporte, 11 (1), 83-109.

Burton, D. (1988). Do anxious swimmers swim slower? reexamining the elusive anxiety-performance relationship. Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 10, 45-61.

Buceta, J. M., López de la Llave, A., Perez-Llantada, M. C., Vallejo, M., y Del Pino, M. D. (2003). Estado psicológico de los corredores populares de maratón en los días anteriores a la prueba. Psicothema, 15(2), 273-277.

Burton, D. (1988). Do anxious swimmers swim slower? reexamining the elusive anxiety-performance relationship. Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 10, 45-61.

Cauas, R. (2010). Evaluación de la ansiedad precompetitiva en corredores de maratón y media maratón que participaron en el Maratón Internacional de Santiago, mediante la aplicación del CSAI-2, D.E.A., Universidad Mayor, Chile.

Gould, D., Petlichkoff, L., Simon, J. y Veveira, M. (1987). The relationship between Competitive State Anxiety Inventory-2 subscale scores and pistol shooting performance. Journal of Sport Psychology, 9, 33 - 42.

Martens, R., Vealey, R.S. y Burton, D. (Eds.) (1990). Competitive Anxiety in Sport. Champaign, Ill: Human Kinetics.

McMillan, J. y Schumacher, S. (2005). Investigación Educativa. Madrid: Pearson.

Nideffer, R.M. (1985). Athletes' Guide to Mental Training. Champaign, Ill: Human Kinetics.

Ogles, B.M. y Masters, K.S. (2000). Older vs younger adult male marathon runners: participative motives and training habits. Journal of Sport Behavior, 23(2), 130-143

Orlick, T. (1986). Psyching for Sport: Mental Training for Athletes. Champaign, Ill: Leisure Press. Silva, J.M. y Appelbaum, M.I. (1989). Association-Dissociation patterns of United States olympic marathon trial contestants. Cognitive Therapy and Research, 13(2), 185-192.

Thomas, J.R. y Nelson, J.K. (2007). Métodos de investigación en actividad física. Barcelona: Paidotribo.

Thornton, E., Cronholm, P., Mccray, I. y Webner, D. (2008). Does Marathon Training Adversely affect Baseline Anxiety Levels?", AMAA Journal, 21(3), 5.

Verma, G. y Mallick, K. (1999). Researching Education. Perspectives and Techniques. London: Falmer Press.

Anexo 1

Variables personales y sociodemográficas

Edad	Peso	Federal- do Si / Talla No	¿Tienes hijos? Si / No	Sexo H / M Sueles participar en carreras de: ¿Traba- jas? Si / No
Kilómetros recorridos a la semana:	Entrenamiento semanal:	5km/5- 10km/10- 15km/15- 20km/20- 30km/30- 40km/40- 50km/+50km	1 día/1-2 días/3 días /4 días/5 días/6-7 días	<1 año/1-4 años/4-8 años/8-12 años/>de 12 años /10Km/21Km/42Km

Anexo 2

Inventario de ansiedad competitiva CSAI2-R

Puntuar de 1 a 4 las siguientes afirmaciones al respecto de sus sensaciones al correr (el número 1 indica que no está nada de acuerdo y el número 4 que estás totalmente de acuerdo)

1	Estoy muy inquieto	1	2	3	4
2	Me preocupo por no rendir en esta competición tan bien como podría hacerlo	1	2	3	4
3	Estoy seguro de mí mismo	1	2	3	4
4	Noto mi cuerpo tenso	1	2	3	4
5	Me preocupa perder	1	2	3	4
6	Siento tensión en mi estómago	1	2	3	4
7	Estoy seguro de que puedo hacer frente al desafío	1	2	3	4
8	Me preocupa bloquearme ante la presión	1	2	3	4
9	Mi corazón se acelera	1	2	3	4
10	Tengo confianza en hacerlo bien	1	2	3	4
11	Me preocupa un bajo rendimiento	1	2	3	4
12	Tengo un nudo en el estómago	1	2	3	4
13	Tengo confianza porque me veo alcanzando mi objetivo	1	2	3	4
14	Me preocupa que los demás se sientan decepcionados con mi rendimiento	1	2	3	4
15	Mis manos están sudorosas	1	2	3	4
16	Confío en responder bien ante la presión	1	2	3	4
17	Noto mi cuerpo rígido	1	2	3	4
18	Siento un vacío en el estómago	1	2	3	4

Corporate Governance and Internatil Audit in Public Higher Education Institutions in Portugal

Maria da Conceição da Costa Marques*

Institute of Accounting and Administration (Portugal)

Recibido: Enero 5 de 2017 – Revisado: Abril 15 de 2017 – Aceptado: Mayo 20 de 2017

Referencia norma APA: Marques, M. C. C. (2017). Corporate Governance and Internatil Audit in Public Higher Education Institutions in Portugal. *Rev. Guillermo de Ockham*, 15(1), 43-52. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21500/22563202.3187>

Abstract

Governance covers a comprehensive analysis of how higher education is governed. Governance comprises a complex set of aspects such as the legal framework, the features of the institutions, the form of relationship with the whole system, the funding model, as they are being held accountable on how money is spent and the less formal structures and relationships that affect behavior. The radical changes in the university environment, has imposed changes within the higher education institutions (HEIs). The massification of education and the reduction of the company's willingness to fund the decrease in government funding and increasing institutional autonomy have forced universities to adopt new forms of management. In Portugal, the Legal Regime of Higher Education Institutions (RJIES) sought to promote meaningful change and a paradigm shift in the governance of these institutions in Portugal. The main objective of this article is to understand the consequences that the new regulations had in the governance of HEI and in the adaptation of processes, in a context of reduction of higher education funding.

Keywords: Higher Education, University, Polytechnic, audit, corporate governance

Gobierno corporativo y auditoría internacional en instituciones públicas de educación superior en Portugal

Resumen

La gobernanza cubre un análisis exhaustivo de cómo se rige la educación superior. La gobernanza comprende un complejo conjunto de aspectos tales como el marco legal, las características de las instituciones, la forma de relación con todo el sistema y el modelo de financiación, ya que se les responsabiliza sobre cómo se gasta el dinero y las estructuras y relaciones menos formales que afectan el comportamiento. Los cambios radicales en el entorno universitario han impuesto cambios dentro de las instituciones de educación superior (IES). La masificación de la educación y la reducción de la voluntad de la empresa de financiar la disminución de la financiación gubernamental y aumentar la autonomía institucional, han obligado a las universidades a adoptar nuevas formas de gestión. En Portugal, el Régimen Jurídico de las Instituciones de Educación Superior (RJIES) buscó promover cambios significativos y un cambio de paradigma en la gobernanza de estas instituciones. El objetivo principal de este artículo es comprender las consecuencias que tuvieron las nuevas regulaciones en la gobernanza de las IES y en la adaptación de los procesos, en un contexto de reducción de la financiación de la educación superior.

Palabras clave: educación superior, universidad, politécnico, auditoría, gobierno corporativo.

* Coordinator Professor of Higher Institute of Accounting and Administration of Coimbra e-mail: mmarques@iscac.pt; conceicao.m@netcabo.pt

Introduction

According to the OECD (2012: 27; 2013: 43), higher education in Portugal has, in recent decades, an undeniable evolution and an unquestionable way, thereby contributing to economic, social and technological development of Portugal.

Article 76 of the Portuguese Constitution assigns to higher education institutions (HEI) some flexibility of management. Indeed, it is recognized to HEI, given its characteristics, a range of management autonomy that involves the scientific freedom, pedagogical, administrative, financial and property and, in a way, also allows them some flexibility in managing their human resources.

Indeed, the Legal Regime of Higher Education Institutions (RJIES), approved by Law No. 62/2007 of 10 September, to introduce profound changes in university management, establishes the possibility of these institutions "adopt an institutional model of organization and management deemed most appropriate for the performance of their mission, as well as the specificity of the context in which they operate", subject to compliance with the law.

So, can we speak of corporate governance (or governance) applied to the HEI? Barakonyi (2007) identifies the main structural elements of a corporate governance system, as follows:

- Investors / shareholders - deliver (at risk) funds, but do not have the responsibility of daily operations. They have limited involvement in activities.
- The executive managers - run the company, but do not have the responsibility of providing funds.

The Board of Directors – represents the shareholders (owners and investors) and protects their interests. Approves the main strategic guidelines, formulates the basic social policies and ensure the follow-up. Prepares and approves the long-term strategic decisions.

Companies are essentially governed by a board of directors that oversees top management with the agreement of the shareholders. The board's responsibilities are directed to the company as a whole. It is concerned with defining the mission, vision and strategy, the hiring or dismissal of the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), monitors and controls, approves the use of funds and looks after the interests of shareholders. The board of directors runs the company but does not control it; so it is necessary to ensure the balance of interests of various groups.

In the case of HEI, the radical changes in the university environment imposed changes within these organizations. The massification of education and the reduction of the company's willingness to fund the decrease in government funding and increasing institutional autonomy, have forced universities to adopt new forms of management. Most universities have had need to change their educational systems. As a result of the changes, the influence of the market and demanding needs of society, strengthening the autonomy and accountability of the HEI, the greater complexity of internal structures, have determined a new paradigm of management. New ideas and new methods are adopted in the academic universe, i.e. it needs to change the current system of corporate governance.

In Portugal, the RJIES sought to promote meaningful change and a paradigm shift in the governance of universities. The main objective of this article is to understand the consequences that the new regulations had in the governance of higher education institutions (HEIs), as well as in the adaptation of the processes and in the reduction in the context of higher education funding.

Method

The basic methodology for the preparation of this article was the qualitative approach to a problem that, in addition to being a choice of the investigator, is justified, above all, to be an appropriate way to understand the nature of a social phenomenon. The qualitative aspect of an investigation may be present even on information obtained in essentially quantitative studies. There is a methodological guidance of naturalistic character - characteristic of qualitative approaches - when, for example, indicators and descriptors used in instruments, are primarily obtained from the direct observation of the contexts in analysis.

For the preparation of this article it was used qualitative research and literature search in order to study the underlying complexity to the problem of corporate governance in the public sector, analyze the interaction of certain variables and understand and classify dynamic processes experienced by social groups.

A literature search was made based on a survey of theoretical references, published written and electronic media, such as books, papers and web sites pages.

In turn, the exploratory research aimed to provide greater familiarity with the issue, to make it more explicit in the construction of hypothesis.

Theoretical basis

Context approach

According to Elena & Sánchez (2013: 48) currently HEIs are critical actors in a knowledge-based economy. They are essential for the production, transmission and dissemination of knowledge and are at the forefront of the political agenda.

Recently, the governments of the OECD, almost without exception, have been reforming, revised or restructure their higher education systems (HES). Behind such reforms are profound changes in its objectives and the challenges it faces, the character of its institutions and the type of customers. It is now better understood that universities and other higher education institutions need to adapt to a more complex environment in which expectations and recognition of higher education have changed.

Governance covers a comprehensive analysis of how higher education is governed. Governance comprises a complex set of aspects, namely: the legislative framework, the characteristics of the institutions, the form of relationship with the whole system, the financing model of the institutions, as they are being held accountable on how this money is spent, as well as less formal structures and relationships that affect the behavior.

Fielden (2008: 2) argues that the governance of higher education "translates the structures, processes and activities that are involved in the planning and direction of the institutions and the people who work in higher education."

Also Altbach (2008: 10) says that in the new university governance, academic weight in the control of the core decisions is decreasing. There is supremacy on behalf of management, efficiency, accountability and emphasis on management practices arising from the private sector and business, so the government is being replaced by the management.

In line with the ideas advocated by the OECD, among the many factors that now influence the approaches to higher education governance are particularly important the five following elements:

- The debate over whether markets are efficient in allocating services such as education, and if it lead to results that serve the public interest;
- The role of a new approach to the management of public bodies, often called new public management,

which in other areas is related to promoting greater efficiency and responsiveness. In universities, the idea of "management" sometimes leads to distrust approaches, so this tool has had trouble finding wide acceptance.

- The appreciation by many higher education institutions of their autonomy. It does not mean "academic freedom", although the two concepts are related, but rather the ability and the right to an institution to determine its own field without undue state interference. That autonomy is a relative concept, which exists in different degrees in different contexts.
- The important implications of funding resulting from the huge expansion in enrollment, which transformed the higher education in an elite sector, came to a sector that covers a large part of the population. Governments must finance the expansion of higher education and take into account that their citizens have to pay more taxes to maintain the institutions accountable for results. The governance of higher education is closely related to its financing.
- The growing importance of market regulation, through setting standards and performance monitoring in higher education systems that are increasingly diverse with the risk of becoming too diffuse. Quality assurance agencies were almost unknown in higher education 20 years ago; now they are common.

Corporate Governance in Higher Education

The Report of the Committee on the Financial Aspects of Corporate Governance (the Cadbury report) defined corporate governance as "the system by which organizations are directed and controlled". He identified the three fundamental principles of corporate governance (corporate governance) as: (a) openness; (b) integrity; and (c) accountability.

These principles are relevant to public sector entities as are for private sector entities. They apply equally to all public sector entities, regardless of the governing bodies are elected or appointed, and wants to integrate or not a group of people or an individual.

The three principles identified in the Cadbury Report (1992: 15): openness, integrity and accountability were built and redefined in order to reflect the public sector context, as shown in Table 1. From the fundamental principles is possible to deduce a set of recommendations on governance.

Table 1
Governance Principles in Public Sector Context

Governance Principles in Public Sector Context	
Openness	Openness is necessary to ensure that stakeholders can have confidence in the decision-making process and actions of public sector entities in the management of its activities and in the individuals within them. Being open through meaningful consultation to stakeholders and communication of complete, accurate and clear information that leads to effective and timely action, resisting the necessary scrutiny.
Integrity	Integrity comprises the actual operations and completeness. It is based on honesty and objectivity and high standards of propriety and probity in the management of public funds and resources and management of the entity's affairs. It is dependent on the control board effectiveness and on the personal standards and professionalism of the individuals within the entity. It reflects both in decision-making processes of the organization and the quality of its financial reporting and performance.
Accountability	Accountability is the process by which public sector entities and the individuals within it are responsible for their decisions and actions, including the management of public funds and all performance aspects, and to submit to the appropriate external scrutiny. The accountability is achieved when all parties have a clear understanding of clearly defined responsibilities and of roles through a robust structure. Indeed, accountability is the obligation to account for a responsibility conferred.

Source: IFAC (2001:12)

IFAC, through the Study No. 13, considers that these core principles are mirrored in each of the dimensions of the governance of public sector entities, namely:

- **Patterns of behavior** - has to do with how the organization's management exercises leadership in determining the values and norms of the organization that define its culture and the behavior of everyone in it.
- **Structures and organizational processes** - considers how the top management in organizations is named and is organized as well as their responsibilities are defined, and how is carried their accountability.
- **Control** - refers to the connection of the various controls established by the organization's top management to assist in achieving the entity's objectives and determining the effectiveness and efficiency of operations. Takes into account the reliability of internal and external reporting, compliance with applicable laws and regulations and internal policies.

- **External Reporting** - includes how the organization's top management demonstrates its accountability in the management of public funds and their performance in the use of resources.

As relates to the behavior patterns, through the figure 2 we can analyze the detail in which this principle materializes.

Table 2
Behavior Patterns

Behavior Patterns		
Organizational structures and processes	Control	External reports
Leadership Code of conduct Probity and propriety Objectivity, integrity and honesty Relationships	Risk management Internal audit Audit committees Internal control Budget Financial management Staff training	Annual report Proper use of accounting standards Performance measures External audit

Source: IFAC (2001:14)

Behavior patterns

Leadership - members of the governing bodies of public sector entities need to exercise leadership according to high standards of behavior, which can serve as a model for others within the organization.

Probity and decorum - All civil servants should have a conduct which works in accordance with high standards of behavior, as this may turn out to be reflected in its reputation and at the entity. In particular, civil servants should be trusted in public funds management. They must demonstrate: (a) probity in handling assets and resources entrusted to them; (b) care in safeguarding the property, assets and confidential information, by ensuring that they are not stolen, abused, or damaged; (c) compliance with the rules and procedures of the organization, especially in the accounting aspects; (d) economics to avoid waste and extravagance; and (e) personal honesty in claiming expenses and ensure that assets and public funds are not used for private purposes.

Codes of Conduct - the governing bodies of public sector entities should adopt a formal code of conduct that defines the standards of behavior that members of the governing body individually and all the entity's employees are required to subscribe.

Objectivity, Integrity and Honesty - the governing bodies of public sector authorities need to establish appropriate mechanisms to ensure that members of the governing body and its employees are not influenced by prejudices, preconceived ideas or conflicts of interest.

Relationships

The public and people from other organizations

Public servants must uphold the reputation of the entity dealing with the general public and people from other organizations: (a) in an appropriate and courteous manner; (b) in a timely, reliable, and, where appropriate, confidential basis; and (c) an open, fair and efficient manner.

Employees

All civil servants have a general duty to treat colleagues with respect, namely: (a) be open, honest and courteous; (b) they must have due regard to health, personal safety and well-being of others; and (c) avoid harassment, discrimination or abuse of any kind.

Structures and Organizational Processes

Statutory accountability - the governing bodies of public sector entities need to establish effective mechanisms to ensure compliance with all applicable laws and regulations and other relevant statements of best practice.

Provision of public money accounts - the governing bodies of public sector entities need to establish appropriate measures to ensure that public funds and resources are adequately protected and economically used efficiently, effectively, with due property, and according to law enforcement authorities or other rules governing their use.

Communication with Stakeholders

The governing bodies of public sector entities need to establish: (a) clear lines of communication with the organization's stakeholders about the mission, functions, goals and organization's performance; (b) adequate procedures to ensure that these channels operate effectively in practice.

The governing bodies of public sector entities need to establish an explicit commitment to openness and transparency in all activities of the entity, subject only to the

need to preserve confidentiality in specific circumstances where it is appropriate and proper to do so.

The governing bodies of public sector entities shall make public the nomination processes, and require publicly available the names of all members of the governing bodies, along with their other relevant interests.

The traditional model of governance of universities is collegial and consultative, with large and broadly representative bodies and open forums to all academic members of the university. According to the OECD (2003: 71) the operated reforms in governance, had two main effects on internal governance: the strengthening of the power of executive authorities within the university; and an increase in participation in university governing bodies or supervisory representative of bodies of people from outside the university.

Ploeg & Veugelers (2008: 109) argue that in most countries there have been efforts to strengthen the executive powers of institutional leaders, for example in the UK in 1988, in Holland in 1997, Austria in 2002 and in Japan in 2004. The main common features concern a transfer of power to the Rector, Vice-Rector or other administrative leaders, and a loss of authority by traditional organs of power and decision-making. However, the strategies and structures chosen to implement these reforms have varied widely.

These changes are aimed at strengthening the overall energy loss faculty, increasing the weighting of "general public" and outside interests contributed to the strengthening of executive authorities. The manner in which these are represented varies considerably.

In following the advocated by the OECD (2003: 72) for example:

- In the Netherlands, there have been legislative changes, particularly in 1997 by the University Modernization Act, where the leadership is divided between a dean with executive responsibility and a Chairman of the Supervisory Board co-opted out of the University. This is comparable to the American model of university with a Chair and Chairman of the Board of Trustees (Neave, 2001). The recent reform of governance in Austria in 2002 (De Boer & File, 2009: 12) shows similarities with the reforms operated in the Netherlands.
- In Sweden, the Board of Directors has a majority of external representatives of the trade sector, industry and regional authorities (usually 8 external to a total

of 15 members). In addition, since 1997 the Chairman of the Board of Directors is no longer the vice-chancellor, but an outside personality, well qualified and experienced, which is not an employee in the institution, but appointed by the government.

Citing Altbach (2008: 11), the academic standards of traditional decision-making, no longer work well. The new governance arrangements, such as senates to manage committees were established. These organs include managers and academics, and in some cases students and stakeholders from outside the University. Academic institutions and systems are performing experiments with management standards that take into account the new realities of higher education.

Following the ideas defended by Altbach (2008: 12) and IIA (2012: 10), accountability is an additional reality, created by the size and complexity of institutions and academic systems. Funders of higher education - usually government officials - require information on the management and performance of the academy. This requires a strengthening of management as well as the unprecedented data collection on all aspects of university affairs. Internal data is needed to ensure efficient management. Furthermore, performance indicators and other reports should be generated for the lenders and other groups.

Consequently, universities have become complex organizations that require sophisticated management and new ways of governing an entrepreneurial academy (Clark, 1998). These are, at the same time the communities and student bureaucracy. The challenge of management and governance is to reconcile these different realities and sometimes contradictory.

On this understanding HEIs have been changing their governance models, with particular relevance to new audiences, changes to the operation of internal processes, diverse engagement with stakeholders, a perspective of combining accountability with new demands for performance, assessed by criteria efficiency, effectiveness and quality, while respecting their mission in higher education.

The Public Higher Education Sector in Portugal and governance

The current higher education system configuration in Portugal is based on a binary system, since it includes, as part of the training, the subsystems of university and polytechnic education. If we analyze the perspective of the founding entity, there are subsystems of public higher

education, higher private and cooperative education, the concordat education and distance learning.

Paragraph 2 of Article 3 of Law No. 62/2007 of September 10 states that “the organization of the binary system should meet the requirements of an increasingly diversified demand for higher education geared to the needs of those who graduate high school and of those seeking vocational and professional courses and learning throughout life.”

The Basic Law on Education (Education Act), approved by Law No. 48/86 of 14 October, amended by Law No. 115/97 of 19 September and by Law No. 49/2005 of 30 August, determined a reorganization in respect of degrees conferred by various subsystems, which admitted the existence of four degrees: the Bachelor's degree, a degree, a Masters and a Doctorate, offered by the university and the polytechnic.

The legal regime of degrees and diplomas of higher education was approved by Decree-Law 74/2006, of March 24, as amended by Decree-Law No. 107/2008 of 25 June, and by Decree law No. 230/2009 of 14 September. This regime admits that in the higher education system start to be awarded the degrees of bachelor, master and doctor, but only the universities can confer the three degrees, but polytechnics are restricted to the first two.

Taking into account the 2012 Eurydice report, which considers that “university education aims to ensure solid scientific and cultural preparation, provide technical training to enable it to perform professional and cultural activities, fostering the development of conception capabilities, innovation and critical analysis. This education is provided at universities and non-integrated universities”.

In turn, according to the same source “polytechnics aims to provide a solid cultural and top-level technique, develop the capacity of innovation and critical analysis and imparting scientific knowledge of theoretical and practical nature, with a view to exercise professionals activities. This education is provided at specialized higher institutions.”

Mobility between the two subsystems (university and polytechnic) is guaranteed through the principle of mutual recognition of the value of training and skills acquired.

The Ministry of Education has the tutelage of public higher education institutions, which enjoy administrative, educational, financial and scientific autonomy. Dependent public higher education institutions from other ministries observe a dual control system: the general control by the ministry on which they depend and the pedagogical and

scientific supervision are exercised by the ministry on which they depend and the Ministry of Education.

The private higher education institutions are subject to the Ministry of Education and are governed by the Statute of Private and Cooperative Education.

The Portuguese Catholic University enjoys a special status under the Concordat signed between the Portuguese State and the Holy See.

The public higher education institutions enjoy autonomy recognized under the legal regime of higher education institutions, approved by Law No. 62/2007 of 10 September, leaving it to the State the responsibility to ensure their effectiveness and unity of action. The Ministry of Education ensures the global coordination mechanisms.

Collaborate in this coordination, the Council of Rectors of Portuguese Universities (CRUP), the Coordinating Council of Higher Polytechnic Institutes (CCISP), and the Coordinating Council for Private and Cooperative Education (CCEPC). As advisory bodies also cooperates the National Council of Education and the Council for Higher Education.

In Portugal the public higher education consists of the following institutions:

Table 3
Public Higher Education Institutions in Portugal

University Institutions	Polytechnic Institutions
IUL - Lisbon University Institute	Polytechnic Institute of Beja
Open University	Polytechnic Institute of Cávado and Ave
University of the Azores	Polytechnic Institute of Bragança
University of Algarve	Polytechnic Institute of Castelo Branco
University of Aveiro	Polytechnic Institute of Coimbra
University of Beira Interior	Polytechnic Institute of Guarda
Coimbra University	Polytechnic Institute of Leiria
University of Évora	Polytechnic Institute of Lisbon
University of Lisbon	Polytechnic Institute of Portalegre
University of Madeira	Polytechnic Institute of Porto
University of Minho	Polytechnic Institute of Santarém
Universidade Nova de Lisboa	Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal
University of Porto	Polytechnic Institute of Viana do Castelo
Technical University of Lisbon	Polytechnic Institute of Viseu
University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro	Polytechnic Institute of Tomar

Source: Prepared by own

Each one with their organic unity of teaching and research, giving them, in accordance with the Official Public Accounting Plan for the Education Sector (POC-Education), approved by Decree 794/2000 of 20 September, the allocation of Public Group. For that reason

they are required to submit consolidated accounts with auditor's report.

The model of governance of HEI is defined in Law No. 62/2007 of 10 September, which approved the RJIES, which introduces a new range of options for governance of HEIs in Portugal. This new model is concerned to ensure that HEIs make strategic decisions based on rationality and efficiency of operation, quality and results, and at the same time, overcome weaknesses in the application of previous law of university autonomy, specifically in which concerned the authenticity of the processes of participation, accountability, Rector competitiveness and lack of concern for management (Pedrosa et al, 2012: 32).

As advocate Mano & Marques (2012: 725), with this new law, "is intended to address the weaknesses of European traditional systems of governance, and to this end, will seek organizational and functional solutions to the modern theory of organization and institutional management or operation of American universities of excellence".

With the approval of RJIES changes occurred in the form of election (Articles 85 and 102), skills profiles of the organs (Articles 82 and 77), structure of government, opening to the society (Article 81), participation of students (articles 81 and 104), Scientific Councils (Article 102), Creation of the Board of Management (Article 95), Educational Council (Article 104), Senate (Article 77) and Diversity of the statutes (articles 67 and 96).

The changes recommended by the RJIES, according to Marques (2011: 121), besides consolidating the governance conditions of universities, in that it differentiates the degree of responsibility of the different bodies (teachers, students and staff) in the management, has implications in the mode of the constitution of the management bodies, in its functions, organization, operation and competencies.

HEI Bodies:

Table 4
Higher Education Institutions Bodies

Universities	Polytechnics	Composition
General Council	General Council	Composed of 15-35 members
Rector	President	Is the highest authority of government and external representation of the respective institution.
Board of Management	Board of Management	Up to five members

Source: Prepared by own

The General Council is composed of 15-35 members, depending on the size of each institution, its schools and research units. The members of the General Council are representatives of academics and researchers (55%), student representatives (15%) and publicly recognized external representatives (30%).

The general council has the following main responsibilities elect their president by an absolute majority; approve its own statute; approve changes to the statutes; organize the election procedure and elect the Rector, under the law, the statutes and the regulations; consider the acts of the rector or president and the board of management; propose initiatives deemed necessary for the proper functioning of the institution; perform other duties as prescribed by law or the statutes.

The powers of the rector or the president are direct and represent the university, the university institute or polytechnic institute, respectively. It is a superior organ of government and external representation of the respective institution, responsible for the political leadership of the institution chairing the management board. Its powers are described and listed in Article 92 of the RJIES.

The management board is responsible for the administrative, patrimonial and financial management of the institution as well as the management of human resources and it shall be applicable current legislation for public bodies with financial autonomy. It is responsible for establish the fees and dues and may delegate to the organs of the organizational units and managers of services the skills considered necessary for a more efficient management.

Internal audit and governance

Audit activities in the public sector and reporting relationships exist between different jurisdictions and different forms of government. The key point, however, is that the audit activities of the public sector must be configured correctly to enable public authorities fulfill their duty of accountability and transparency to the public and achieve their goals effectively, efficiently, economically and also ethics.

Governance is defined as the combination of processes and structures implemented by the board to inform, direct, govern and monitor the activities of the organization in achieving its goals. In the public sector, governance relates to the means by which goals are set and met. It also includes activities to ensure the credibility of a public body, to ensure equitable provision of services, and ensure

appropriate behavior of government employees, reducing the risk of public corruption.

Audit is one of the pillars of good governance in the public sector. By providing impartial and objective assessment on how public resources are managed in a responsible and effective way to achieve the desired results, auditors help public sector organizations to achieve accountability and integrity, improve operations and inspire confidence in citizens and stakeholders. The role of the public sector auditor is to support the governance and oversight responsibilities, with insight and foresight. The audit assists in the supervision of public sector entities, in that it analyzes their activities, if is doing what it is supposed to do and serves to detect and prevent public corruption.

According to the IIA (2012: 16) the insight helps decision makers by providing an independent assessment of government programs, policies, operations and results. In turn, identifies trends and emerging challenges. Auditors use tools such as financial audit, performance audit, investigations and consulting services to perform each of these functions.

According to Christ et al (2013: 4) sometimes companies change the internal auditors of the internal audit function for the operational management. The internal audit function is thus used as a management training camp, and understanding how this practice affects monitoring the effectiveness of the internal audit function is essential for a comprehensive understanding of the impacts of internal audit in corporate governance.

Thus, the evidence on the consequences of using internal audit as a management training field is important for investors, boards of directors, audit committees and management. These stakeholders rely on the internal audit function to monitor effectively the financial information and to understand how the rotation of the internal auditors to management positions can impact the role of internal audit and ensuring the quality of financial reporting.

In addition, regulators must understand how the use of the internal audit function as a management training field affects the quality of financial reporting so that they can determine the potential consequences of this practice and how it should be addressed.

In Portugal the internal audit in the public sector will already usual, especially in large organizations. With regard to higher education, their presence is relatively recent and not widespread.

Law No. 8/90 of 20 February, part of the reform of financial administration of the State includes in Article 12 the existence of internal control organs, independent of the respective governing bodies since they have administrative and financial autonomy.

In turn, Decree-Law No. 166/98, of 25 June establishing the internal control system of financial administration of the state, abbreviated as SCI, under the responsibility of the Government and in particular liaison with the Ministry of Finance. The SCI is considered to be structured in three levels of control, designated operational, sectoral and strategic, and defined according to the nature and scope of intervention of the services that integrate it.

In order to verify that HEIs have internal control body, was made up a survey on the websites of these organizations and it was concluded that few entities still holds that body, as can be seen from the following outcome.

Table 5
Internal audit bodies in HEI

Institution	Internal audit body	Note	Institution	Internal audit body	Note
IUL - Lisbon University Institute	No		Polytechnic Institute of Beja	Yes	Office of quality, evaluation and procedures
Open University	Yes	Audit area and development	Polytechnic Institute of Cávado and Ave	No	
University of the Azores	No		Polytechnic Institute of Bragança	No	
University of Algarve	No		Polytechnic Institute of Castelo Branco	No	
University of Aveiro	No		Polytechnic Institute of Coimbra	No	
University of Beira Interior	No		Polytechnic Institute of Beja	No	
Coimbra University	Yes	Audit office and internal control	Polytechnic Institute of Guarda	No	
University of Evora	No		Polytechnic Institute of Leiria	No	Has internal control manual
University of Lisbon	Yes	Audit office and internal control	Polytechnic Institute of Lisbon	Yes	
University of Madeira	No		Polytechnic Institute of Portoalegre	No	
University of Minho	Yes	Audit office and control	Polytechnic Institute of Porto	No	
Universidade Nova de Lisboa	No		Polytechnic Institute of Santarém	No	
University of Porto	Yes		Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal	No	
Technical University of Lisbon	No		Polytechnic Institute of Viana do Castelo	No	
University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro	No		Polytechnic Institute of Viseu	No	
			Polytechnic Institute of Tomar	No	

Source: Prepared by own

Conclusions

In the decades of 1990/2000 there were no major reforms in higher education governance in Portugal. Higher Education Institutions were able to defend their autonomy from the government. The strong dependence that universities have of public funds and the mechanisms that provide these funds are other important institutional barriers to change.

HEIs are working consistently to find funding and regulation criteria at the same time they wish to strengthen their market position. There is an emphasis on institutional strategy and a move to withdraw power to individual departments. The external members are now part of the governing bodies that were once dominated by academics. The directors are selected for their leadership skills, as well as for his academic performance.

No corporate governance system is fully proof of fraud or incompetence. The important thing is to know how far these distortions may be discouraged and how quickly can be known. The risk could be reduced if the participants in the governance process are effectively responsible.

The audit of the public sector is fundamental to good public governance, so keep the right resources, with a term of time set within the reach of the organization's governance objectives. And should provide answers to the whole range of the entity's activities.

Although auditors may be able to add value to any organization segment for which can provide objective assurance of independence, each public sector entity requires some form of independent audit activity, which has the authority to assess a wide range of public sector activities.

Audit activities are often provided by complementary external and internal audit entities. However, in some small entities from the public sector, an auditing entity itself or an entity that is a mix of internal and external audit features, can be construed as appropriate.

The audit of the public sector strengthens public governance, supports accountability and protects the fundamental values of public sector entity, ensuring managers and employees to conduct the activities transparently, fairly and honestly and with fairness and integrity. Officials Elected and appointed at all levels of the public sector should support audit activities by establishing effective audit functions, independent and meet all the key elements.

Since the HEI in Portugal are generally large organizations, the audit function can prove to be a powerful tool

to support and prevention in the exercise of governance of these institutions.

Finally, the step forward is to have a clear definition of responsibility and acceptance by everyone involved, and high standards of efficiency and integrity are expected of them. Continually arise expectations about the organizational environment and the corresponding response is expected by all stakeholders, directors and auditors.

References

- Altbach, Philip G. (2008). The complex roles of universities in the period of globalization. <https://upcommons.upc.edu/revistes/bitstream/2099/8111/1/altbach.pdf>. Accessed in November 2014.
- Barakonyi, Károly (2007). University Governance Overview and Criticism on the Hungarian Situation. <http://drc-summerschool.eu/proceedings?order=getLinks&categoryID=6>. Accessed in April 2014.
- Cadbury Report: *The Report of the Committee on the Financial Aspects of Corporate Governance* (1992). The Committee on the Financial Aspects of Corporate Governance and Gee and Co. Ltd. ISBN 0 85258 915 8.
- Christ, Margaret H. et al (2013:4). The Effects of Using the Internal Audit Function as a Management Training Ground and Audit Committee Oversight on Financial Reporting Quality <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1946518> Accessed in September 2014.
- Clark, B. (1983). The Higher Education System. Berkeley, Los Angeles, London: University of California Press.
- Clark, B. (1998), Creating Entrepreneurial Universities: Organisational Pathways of Transformation, Pergamon, Surrey.
- Clark, B. (2000), Collegial entrepreneurialism in proactive universities, Change, January/February.
- De Boer, H. & File, J. (2009). Higher Education governance reforms across Europe. ESMU, Brussels.
- Elena, S. & Sánchez, P. M. (2013). Autonomy and governance models: emerging paradoxes in Spanish universities. Perspectives: Policy and Practice in Higher Education, Vol. 17, No. 2, 48–56, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13603108.2012.716089>.
- Eurydice (2012). Números-Chave da Educação na Europa 2012. EACEA P9 Eurydice and Policy Support.
- Fielden, J. (2008). Global Trends in University Governance. Washington, D.C. – U.S.A. March.
- Grossi, G. & Steccolini, I (2014). Accounting for public governance. Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management, Vol. 11 No. 2, pp. 86-91.
- IFAC - The International Federation of Accountants (2001). Governance in the Public Sector: A Governing Body Perspective. <http://www.ifac.org/sites/default/files/publications/files/study-13-governance-in-th.pdf>. Accessed in August 2014.
- IIA - The Institute of Internal Auditors (2012). The role of auditing in public sector governance. https://na.theiia.org/standards-guidance/Public%20Documents/Public_Sector_Governance1_1_.pdf. Accessed in September 2014.
- Mano, M., & Marques, M. (maio/junho de 2012). Novos Modelos de Governo na Universidade Pública em Portugal e Competitividade. Revista de Administração Pública (46), pp. 721-736.
- Marques, M. (02 de maio de 2011). A Universidade Pública e os Modelos de Governo: Uma Visão Sobre o Contexto Atual Português. (S. Ginocar Produções, Ed.) Revista Portuguesa de Contabilidade, Vol. 1, pp. 105-128.
- Neave, G., J Huisman, P Maassen (2001). Higher Education and the Nation State: The International Dimension of Higher Education. Issues in Higher Education Series.
- Neave, G. (2009). The Bologna Process as Alpha Or Omega, or, on Interpreting History and Context as Inputs to Bolonha, Prague, Berlin and Beyond. In A. Amaral, G. Neave, C. Musselin, & P. Maassen, European Integration and the Governance of Higher Education and Research (pp. 17-58). London: Springer.
- OECD (2003). Changing Patterns of Governance in Higher Education. Education Policy Analysis, Chapter 3.
- OECD (2004). Principles of Corporate Governance. OCDE Publishing.
- OECD (2012). Education at a Glance 2012: OECD Indicators. Paris: OCDE Publishing (ISBN 978-92-64-17929-5).
- OECD (2013). Education at a Glance 2013: OECD Indicators. Paris: OCDE Publishing (ISBN 978-92-64-20105-7).
- Pedrosa, J., Santos, H., Mano, M., & Gaspar, T. (2012). Novo Modelo de Governança e Gestão das Instituições de Ensino Superior em Portugal: Análise dos Usos do Modelo em Instituições Públicas. University of Aveiro.
- Ploeg, F. & Veugelers, R. (2008). Towards Evidence-based Reform of European Universities. CESifo Economic Studies, 54, 2/2008, pp. 99-120.
- The Committee on the Financial Aspects of Corporate Governance (1992). The Report of the Committee on the Financial Aspects of Corporate Governance. <http://www.icaew.com/-/media/Files/Library/subjects/corporate%20governance/financial%20aspects%20of%20corporate%20governance.pdf>. Accessed in September 2014.